CETACEAN OCCURRENCE OFF VIRGINIA'S OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

Amy Engelhaupt¹, Jessica M. Aschettino², Dan Engelhaupt², Michael Richlen², Joel T. Bell³

Abstract

One hundred forty kilometers (km) east of Naval Station Norfolk (NSN), the world's largest U.S. Navy base, lies the continental shelf break and the Norfolk Canyon – areas known to have considerable cetacean species diversity based on previous broad-scale stock and population survey assessments. These waters also play a vital role in the U.S. Navy's training and testing operations given their complex bathymetry and proximity to NSN. In 2015, the U.S. Navy initiated a multi-year study to provide a more detailed assessment of species occurrence, diversity, and habitat use in the Norfolk Canyon region, and to examine medium-scale movements and dive behavior of large whales. Between April 2015 and August 2017, twenty-five small-boat surveys using photo-ID, biopsy sampling, and satellitemonitored tagging techniques were conducted. There were 401 sightings of 14 cetacean species recorded (including sightings over the continental shelf en route to the study area). Priority species encountered were fin whales (Balaenoptera physalus) (n=16), sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) (n=23), minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) (n=5), humpback whales (*Megaptera* novaeangliae) (n=3), sei whales (Balaenoptera borealis) (n=1), and Cuvier's beaked whales (Ziphius cavirostris) (n=1). The species most often sighted were pilot whales (Globicephala sp.) (n=153), bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) (n=112), and short-beaked common dolphins (Delphinus delphis (n=57). Additional observations of Atlantic spotted dolphins (Stenella frontalis) (n=14), Risso's dolphins (Grampus griseus) (n=10), striped dolphins (Stenella coereuleoalba) (n=4), and harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) (n=2) were also recorded. Fifteen LIMPET-configured satellite-monitored tags (SPOT6 and SPLASH) have been deployed to date on fin whales (n=7) and sperm whales (n=8). Preliminary tag results suggest site-fidelity to the Norfolk Canyon by sperm whales over periods of weeks, while fin whales show a mix of both minimal and extreme movement patterns within and outside of the study area. Future efforts will provide more detail on habitat use in this region.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank NAVFAC Atlantic for technical support, project management, and contractual support as well as U.S. Fleet Forces Command for funding this project under the U.S. Navy's Marine Species Monitoring Program. We also want to thank observers from NAVFAC Atlantic, Will Cioffi from Duke University, and Todd Pusser for being a part of our observing team. We thank the Captains and crew of Top Notch, Capt. Cheryl, and Knot Tellin'. Danielle Waples of Duke University assembled the pilot whale catalog. These data and photographs were collected under NMFS Permit No. 16239 issued to Dan Engelhaupt.

Background and Methods

Primary objectives:

- Describe which cetacean species occur over the outer continental shelf, shelf break, and continental slope and how occurrence fluctuates seasonally.
- Study the baseline behaviors and ecological relationships of offshore cetaceans within the study area.
- Determine whether individual cetaceans exhibit site fidelity within specific regions of the study area.
- Investigate the seasonal extent of cetacean movements within and around U.S. Navy Virginia Capes (VACAPES) operating area.
- Determine whether cetaceans spend significant time within or primarily move through areas of U.S. Navy live-fire or Anti-Submarine Warfare training events.



Figure 2. Photo-ID, biopsy, and satellite tagging techniques being utilized with a group of sperm whales.

Satellite tagging:

- Wildlife Computers (Redmond, Washington) Smart Position and Temperature (SPOT6) Argos satellite-linked tags in the Low Impact Minimally Percutaneous External-electronics Transmitter (LIMPET) configuration (Andrews et al. 2008)* (Figure 4) were utilized.
- The tags were remotely deployed using a DAN-INJECT JM25 pneumatic projector (<u>www.dan-inject.com</u>).
- Two 6.8-centimeter surgical-grade titanium darts with six backwards-facing petals were used to attach tags to the dorsal fin or just below the dorsal fin.
- Maximum tag attachment duration was expected to be less than 30 days; therefore, tags were programmed to maximize the number of transmissions and locations received during attachment rather than to extend battery life.
- Based on satellite availability in the area, tags were programmed to transmit for 22 hours/day with an unlimited number of transmissions for the first 40 days.
- Locations of tagged individuals were approximated by the Argos system using the Kalman filtering location algorithm (Argos Users Manual © 2007-2015 CLS), and unrealistic locations (i.e., those on land) were manually removed using tools provided within Movebank (www.movebank.org).



- 2017. whales).



* Andrews, R.D., R.L. Pitman and L.T. Balance. 2008. Satellite tracking reveals distinct movement patterns for Type B and Type C killer whales in the southern Ross Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology, 31(12), 1461–1468. DOI 10.1007/s00300-008-0487-z

1-Amy Engelhaupt Consulting 2-HDR Inc. 3-Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Atlantic





• Small vessel (16-17 meter [Figure 2]) surveys conducted 2015-

• Surveys focused on photo-identification, biopsy sampling, and satellite tagging for priority species (i.e. baleen and deep-diving

• Survey area: Outer continental shelf, shelf break, and continental slope waters off Virginia within the VACAPES training range (shown in **Figure 1**).

Figure 4. Wildlife Computers SPLASH satellite tag deployed on sperm whale.



Table 1. Summary of results from satellite tag data, including number of days tag transmitted, number of locations post-filtering, percent of locations in

| VACAPES OPAREA, and distance from initial tag location (max and mean). | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Animal ID | Species | Тад Туре | Days Transmitted | Number of Locations Post- Filtering | Within VACAPES range(%) | Max Distance from Initial Location (km) | Mean Distance from Initial Location (km) | | |
| 158674 | Fin whale | SPOT6 | 13.7 | 163 | 100 | 83.3 | 54.6 | | |
| 168226 | Fin whale | SPOT6 | 18.5 | 356 | 71.3 | 362.4 | 156.1 | | |
| 168227 | Fin whale | SPOT6 | 39.0 | 503 | 10.1 | 374.8 | 268.2 | | |
| 168229 | Fin whale | SPOT6 | 30.1 | 341 | 43.4 | 194.6 | 36.0 | | |
| 168235 | Fin whale | SPOT6 | 6.8 | 38 | 39.5 | 48.0 | 14.5 | | |
| 163791 | Fin whale | SPLASH | 6.8 | 59 | 15.3 | 25.5 | 9.7 | | |
| 168231 | Fin whale | SPLASH | 26.8 | 217 | 53.9 | 905.3 | 288.9 | | |
| Mean | Fin whales | All | 20.2 | 239.6 | 47.6 | 284.8 | 84.8 | | |
| 166684 | Sperm whale | SPOT6 | 29.6 | 283 | 83.4 | 582.5 | 120.2 | | |
| 168228 | Sperm whale | SPOT6 | 24.9 | 184 | 100 | 128.0 | 74.3 | | |
| 163792 | Sperm whale | SPLASH | 21.0 | 127 | 99.2 | 26.1 | 4.6 | | |
| 168232 | Sperm whale | SPLASH | 30.8 | 274 | 93.4 | 357.0 | 91.8 | | |
| 168234 | Sperm whale | SPLASH | 13.0 | 126 | 87.3 | 276.1 | 69.7 | | |
| 171879 | Sperm whale | SPLASH | 3.6 | 18 | 100 | 106.3 | 52.3 | | |
| 171880 | Sperm whale | SPLASH | 15.5 | 137 | 100 | 115.6 | 71.5 | | |
| 171881 | Sperm whale | SPLASH | 5.7 | 79 | 100 | 582.5 | 27.5 | | |
| Mean | Sperm whales | All | 18.0 | 153.5 | 95.4 | 271.8 | 64.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Results

- compared to fin whale tag locations (Table 1)
- Fin whale movements show majority of locations over the continental shelf, with the exception of one individual – HDRVABp017 (Figure 7).
- Sperm whale movements show ranges closer to shelf edge and canyons, with some staying very close to initial tagging location (Table 1 & Figure 8).
- Mean fin whale dive duration ranged from 8.8–10.4 minutes and mean dive depth ranged from 27.6–52.4 meters (Table 2).
- Mean sperm whale dive duration ranged from 25.8–38.2 minutes and mean dive depth ranged from 437.5–886.7 meters (Table 2).

Conclusions

• Endangered Species Act-listed sperm and fin whales were frequently observed in the study area. • High cetacean species diversity overlaps with areas utilized for U.S. Navy training exercises. Preliminary sighting locations and satellite tag data support different habitat use patterns and ranges for each species of interest, which is an important consideration to potential impacts.

• Incorporation of switching state space modeling will provide insight into foraging ecology.

 Further study is needed to assess patterns of residency for individuals of all species using a combination of photo-ID and satellite tagging techniques, a critical element with respect to population consequences.



dive depth (max and mean)

Species

Fin whale

168231 Fin whale

Mean Fin whale

163792 Sperm whale

168232 Sperm whale

168234 Sperm whale

171879 Sperm whale

171880 Sperm whale

171881 Sperm whale

Mean Sperm whale

Animal ID



Table 2. Summary of results from SPLASH tag behavior dive data, including number of days tag transmitted, number of dives, mean dive duration, and

| , | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ys nitted | Number of Dives | Mean Dive Duration (min) | Mean Dive Depth (m) ± SD | Max Dive Depth (m) |
| 8 | 125 | 8.8 | 52.4 ±0.6 | 90.5 |
| .8 | 198 | 10.4 | 27.6 ± 0.2 | 92.5 |
| | | 9.6 | 40.0 | |
| .0 | 368 | 35.5 | 437.5 ± 5.6 | 1063.5 |
| .8 | 568 | 32.8 | 523 ± 6.0 | 1095.5 |
| .0 | 321 | 37.8 | 886.7 ± 10.2 | 1735.5 |
| 6 | 36 | 38.2 | 604 ± 6.0 | 1063.5 |
| .5 | 441 | 27.2 | 492.4 ± 5.6 | 1351.5 |
| 7 | 208 | 25.8 | 599.3 ± 6.0 | 1095.5 |
| | | 32.9 | 590.5 | |

