APPENDIX J. Movements and Spatial Use of Satellite-tagged Odontocetes in the Western Main Hawaiian Islands: Results of Fieldwork Undertaken off Oʻahu in October 2010 and Kauaʻi in February 2011

Movements and spatial use of satellite-tagged odontocetes in the western main Hawaiian

Islands: results of field work undertaken off O'ahu in October 2010 and Kaua'i in

February 2011

Robin W. Baird¹, Gregory S. Schorr¹, Daniel L. Webster¹,
Sabre D. Mahaffy¹, Jessica M. Aschettino¹, Tori Cullins²

Cascadia Research Collective

218 1/2 W. 4th Avenue

Olympia, WA 98501

²Wild Dolphin Foundation

87-1286 Farrington Highway

Waianae, HI 96792

Annual progress report under Grant No. N00244-10-1-0048

from the Naval Postgraduate School

May 31, 2011

SUMMARY

Although considerable information is available on residency patterns and spatial use of odontocetes in the eastern half of the Hawai'i Range Complex (HRC), much less is known about odontocetes in the western half of the HRC. In the first year of a three-year effort we undertook surveys off O'ahu (in October 2010) and Kaua'i (in February 2011) to examine spatial use and residency patterns using satellite tags, as well as obtaining individual identification photographs and biopsy samples for assessment of population identity and structure. In 18 days of combined effort we encountered 43 groups of 10 species of odontocetes, deployed 15 satellite tags on three species, obtained over 23,000 photographs for contribution to photo-identification catalogs, and obtained 39 genetic samples of six species. Location data were obtained from satellite tags for periods from 7.2 to 223 days (median = 36.8 days). Tags deployed on three Hawaiian insular false killer whales provided the most detailed dive data yet available for this species and movement data will be contributed to studies of critical habitat for this species. Satellite tags were deployed on individuals in two groups of pygmy killer whales, one of the least known species of delphinids world-wide and a rare species in Hawaiian waters. Habitat use of the two groups differed substantially, and may be related to the residency of the groups as evident from photoidentification data. Most individuals in one group had been previously photo-identified off O'ahu (12 of 15), some in up to three previous years, and the group spent the 30 days post-tagging along the south and west shores of O'ahu and off the western end of Penguin Bank, remaining primarily in water depths of less than 1,000 m (median depth = 576 m). Although 17 individuals in the other group were photo-identified, none had not been previously photographed off O'ahu, although one had been previously documented off each of Lana'i and Hawai'i. The tagged individual from this group moved repeatedly from less than 1,000 m depth to over 3,000 m depth off O'ahu, spending most of its time over the 7 days of tracking in water depths greater than 1,000 m (median depth = 2,487 m). Short-finned pilot whales were tagged both off Oʻahu (six individuals) and Kaua'i (three individuals). As with the pygmy killer whales, considerable variation in movement patterns and habitat use were apparent with tagged short-finned pilot whales, with some groups remaining close to the area of tagging, suggesting residency, and others moving over very wide ranges and using a broad range in depths, illustrating different ranging patterns that may have implications for exposure and responses to Navy exercises. Combined these studies provide the most detailed information yet available on spatial use and ranging patterns of both pygmy killer whales and short-finned pilot whales in the western half of the HRC.

INTRODUCTION

Considerable information is available on residency patterns and spatial use of a number of species of odontocetes in the eastern half of the Hawai'i Range Complex (HRC), particularly off the island of Hawai'i (e.g., Aschettino et al. in press; Baird et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2009, 2010, 2011; McSweeney et al. 2007, 2009; Schorr et al. 2009). Favorable working conditions have resulted in a concentration of research activities off the island, where the presence of very deep water (>2,000 m) close to shore has facilitated research with a number of typically deep-water species, as well as with shallow-water species. One of the main findings of this work is that there are resident populations of more than half of the species of odontocetes found off the island of Hawai'i, including short-finned pilot whales, pygmy killer whales, melon-headed whales, common bottlenose dolphins, rough-toothed dolphins, Blainville's beaked whales, Cuvier's beaked whales, and dwarf sperm whales (e.g., Aschettino et al. in press; Baird et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2009, 2010, 2011;

McSweeney et al. 2007, 2009; Schorr et al. 2009). In addition to these resident populations, individuals of some species are known to regularly move among the islands (e.g., false killer whales), and at least one has two populations that use the area (melon-headed whales, which have both a resident population to the island of Hawai'i and a population that moves among all of the main Hawaiian Islands and into offshore waters; Aschettino et al. in press, Woodworth et al. in press).

Less is known about residency and spatial use of odontocetes in the western half of the HRC. The smaller size of the islands (Kaua'i, Ni'ihau, O'ahu) result in smaller lee areas and thus less ideal working conditions, and the shallower slopes to the islands mean that deep water is further offshore and thus in less protected areas. Most of the research that has been done has focused on photo-identification and biopsy sampling (e.g., Baird et al. 2003, 2006, but see Baird et al. 2008c), and has identified the existence of some island-specific populations of more commonlyencountered species, like rough-toothed dolphins off Kaua'i/Ni'ihau (Baird et al. 2008a) and bottlenose dolphins off both Kaua'i/Ni'ihau and O'ahu (e.g., Baird et al. 2009; Martien et al. in press), but less is known about less-frequently encountered and/or deeper-water species. Navy exercises frequently occur in the western half of the HRC, thus there is a need for additional information on residency patterns and spatial use of protected species in that area to be able to assess the likelihood of exposure to exercises and interpret potential reactions. One of the primary purposes of this project is to address this information gap. A number of tools are used to accomplish this, in particular deployment of medium-term Low Impact Minimally Percutaneous External-electronics Transmitter (LIMPET) satellite tags on a number of species of odontocetes. Importantly, photo-identification data is also used to interpret spatial use patterns, building on long-term photo-identification catalogs compiled from throughout the main Hawaiian Islands, and collection of genetic samples to contribute to studies of population structure. This report summarizes results from the first year of this effort, with field activities undertaken in two periods: October 2010 off the island of O'ahu, and February 2011 off the island of Kaua'i. In addition to support from N₄₅ and the Naval Postgraduate School, these field efforts were supported in part by funding from the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center and by Commander, Pacific Fleet.

METHODS

Surveys were undertaken off the island of Oʻahu for 14 days in October 2010, based out of Koʻolina Marina, using a 27' Boston Whaler outfitted with a tower and bow pulpit. Efforts were made to cover as broad a range off the southern and southwestern shores of the island as possible, with efforts concentrated away from shallow (e.g., <200 m) nearshore areas, as weather conditions permitted. Observers scanned 360 degrees around the research vessel, which transited typically at speeds of 15-30 km h . Surveys off the island of Kauaʻi were undertaken for 4 days in February 2011 as part of a survey coordinated by NAVFAC Pacific. During the Kauaʻi effort groups were located by three observers on-board the 96' R/V Searcher, and tagging operations were undertaken from a 15' rigid-hulled inflatable. During both field operations efforts were made to obtain photographs of all individuals in groups of odontocetes encountered and biopsy samples of most species.

Two types of satellite tags were used: a small location-only SPOT5 tag (Wildlife Computers, Inc., Redmond, WA), or a Mkio-A SPLASH tag that recorded both information on location and diving behavior, both in the LIMPET configuration (Andrews et al. 2009; Schorr et al. 2009; Baird et al.

2010). Attachment darts penetrated 4.5 cm into the dorsal fin for small species (e.g., pygmy killer whales) or 7 cm into the dorsal fin for larger species (e.g., false killer whales, short-finned pilot whales). Tags were programmed to transmit for variable periods during the day corresponding to the periods with the best satellite overpasses.

Data obtained from the Argos system was processed with the Douglas Argos-Filter v. 7.08 (available at Alaska.usgs.gov/science/biology/spatial/douglas.html) using two independent methods: distance between consecutive locations, and rate and bearings among consecutive movement vectors. Each location is assigned a "location class" by Argos, which reflects the estimated precision of the location, with the most precise locations being classes 3 and 2. We set the Douglas Argos-Filter to automatically retain location classes 3 and 2. Maximum rate of movement was set at 10 km h-1 for pygmy killer whales and 15 km h-1 for short-finned pilot whales and false killer whales. Depth and distance from shore for all locations which passed the Douglas Argos-filter were determined in ArcGIS v. 9.2 (ESRI, Redlands, California) using a 50 m x 50 m multibeam synthesis bathymetry model from the Hawai'i Mapping Research Group (available at www.soest.hawaii.edu/HMRG/multibeam/index.php).

To determine whether individuals with overlapping tag data were acting in concert or independently, we calculated the straight-line distance (i.e., not taking into account potentially intervening land masses) between pairs of individuals when locations were obtained during a single satellite overpass. We used both the average distances between pairs of individuals and the maximum distance between pairs to assess whether individuals were acting independently.

Photographs of tagged and companion whales were added and compared to individual photoidentification catalogs for each species maintained by Cascadia Research Collective (e.g., Baird et al. 2008b; McSweeney et al. 2009). Previous sighting history of individuals within groups were examined to assess whether individuals were part of resident populations from the areas they were tagged or potentially part of offshore populations or individuals moving from other islands.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In field efforts off O'ahu in October 2010 we encountered 30 different groups of 10 different species of odontocetes, were able to collect 32 genetic samples (from six species) for studies of population structure, and took over 18,000 photographs for individual identification and for species identification (Table 1). During the Kaua'i effort there were 13 encounters with four different species of odontocetes, we were able to collect seven genetic samples from two species, and took over 5,500 photographs (Table 2). During the two field operations satellite tags were deployed on 15 individuals of three different species of odontocetes (Table 3). Three tags were deployed on false killer whales from the Hawai'i insular population, including the first deployments of combination location/dive tags on this species. Although detailed analyses from the false killer whale tag deployments will be reported elsewhere (Baird et al. in prep), there are several points that warrant mentioning. These deployments were the second set of satellite tag deployments on false killer whales off the island of O'ahu (the first were deployed in October 2009; see Baird et al. 2010), and greatly increase our understanding of movements of individuals from this population in the western half of the Hawai'i Range Complex. One of the individuals tagged (HIPc272) had been previously tagged off the island of Hawai'i (see Baird et al. 2010). A comparison of spatial use of this individual during the two different years illustrates differences in spatial use among years for this species (Figure 1). When tagged in 2008, HIPc272 spent the

majority of its time on the leeward sides of the islands and moved from Hawaii Island to Oʻahu, whereas in 2010 this individual restricted its movements to the area from Oʻahu to Maui, despite the fact that the tracking period was longer in 2010 (32 days) than in 2008 (26 days), and made extensive use of both the windward and leeward sides of the islands. Such an example of differences in movements of the same individual tagged in two different locations (in two different years) demonstrates that movements of individual false killer whales may be influenced by the location of where they were tagged and/or vary between years.

Three tags were deployed on pygmy killer whales off O'ahu, in two different groups. These tag deployments represent the first movement data available for this species away from the island of Hawai'i, and a substantial increase in what is known about spatial use of this species, both in Hawai'i and world-wide. Two of the individuals were tagged in the same group, although the tags were deployed five days apart. When this group was first encountered identification photos were obtained from 15 distinctive individuals, 12 of which (80%) had been previously documented off the island of O'ahu in from two to four different years (Table 4). Both of the tagged whales had been previously photographed off O'ahu together in October 2008 and August 2009, and both were photographed together in December 2010 after the tags had come off. Three other individuals present in the group had also been previously photo-identified off O'ahu in 2007, 2008 and 2009 (the latter two years with the two whales who were tagged also present), all suggesting this group is resident to the island. Satellite data from the two individuals combined were available for 30 days post-tagging. The group moved from the southwest coast of O'ahu to off the south coast, and then spent the majority of their time in a small area off the western edge of Penguin Bank (Figure 2). The group was documented almost entirely in depths of less than 1,000 m (median depth of location = 576 m; Figure 3). Identification photos were available from 17 distinctive individuals from the second group tagged, and two of those individuals (11.8%) had been previously documented, one off the island of Hawai'i and one off Lana'i (Table 4). The movement of one individual from Hawai'i to O'ahu represents the first inter-island movement documented from photo-identification data for this species. Prior to this effort movement data were available from just two pygmy killer whales tagged off the island of Hawai'i. Both individuals were part of the same social group although they were tagged four months apart, and both individuals remained strongly associated with the island (Baird et al. 2011). The overall low resighting rate and lack of previous records from O'ahu suggest the second group we tagged is not resident to the island. Satellite data from this group over a 7 day period showed a very different pattern than the first group tagged, with repeated movements from less than 1,000 m depth to over 3,000 m depth (Figure 2). Overall this group used a much broader range of water depths (Figure 3) with a median depth of 2,487 m. Combined the results suggest that habitat use may depend strongly on the whether the area is a core area for the group (e.g., O'ahu/Penguin Bank for FaTag5 and FaTag6) versus an area visited only rarely (FaTag7).

Nine satellite tags were deployed on short-finned pilot whales, with six deployments (on three different days) off Oʻahu and three (on two different days) off Kauaʻi. An analysis of distance among tagged individuals that were either tagged on the same day or tagged on subsequent days but for which some individuals were in common between the two groups suggest that seven different social units were tagged (Table 5). Of the groups where tags were deployed, the proportion of individuals that had previously been photographically documented ranged from o to 94% (Table 4). Almost half of the distinctive individuals (9 of 21) in the first group of pilot whales tagged off Oʻahu had been previously photo-identified off the island of Lanaʻi (Table 4).

Individuals from this group remained strongly associated with Oʻahu and the 4-island area (the islands of Molokaʻi, Maui, Lanai and Kahoʻolawe) for the entire duration of tag transmissions (Figure 4), covering a 223 day span for one individual (GmTag43). Most of the time was spent off the leeward (west) shores of Lanaʻi and the south and west shores of Oʻahu, with relatively little time spent on the north side of Oʻahu or north of the 4-island area (Figure 4). Tagged individuals from this group were strongly associated with the slope, with most locations in depths of less than 3,000 m (Figure 5; GmTag41 median = 1,364 m, GmTag42 median = 1,514 m, GmTag43 median =1,488 m). Maximum distance moved from the tagging location for these individuals was 153 km. The relative lack of movements, strong association with the slope, and large proportion of individuals that had been previously photo-identified suggest this is a resident group to the Oʻahu/4-islands area.

By contrast, the three individuals tagged off Oʻahu on 19 October 2010 ranged widely both among the western main Hawaiian Islands almost as far as Nihoa in the northwestern Hawaiian Islands and offshore (Figure 6). While remaining within the HRC, one individual (GmTag44) crossed three management boundaries; the long-line exclusion zone around the main Hawaiian Islands, the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Boundary, and the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone boundary surrounding Hawaiii (Figure 6). This is the first direct evidence that any species of odontocetes from the main Hawaiian Islands may utilize either waters within the Marine National Monument or international waters. A comparison of distances among these individuals indicated that the three individuals were likely from two different social units, with GmTag44 and GmTag45 remaining relatively close together (median = 2.4 km) for the nine days of overlap (Table 5). Although tagged only a couple of kilometers apart, GmTag44 and GmTag46 separated by 457 km (median distance apart = 164.1 km). Depths used by these individuals were almost entirely greater than 3,000 m (GmTag44 median = 4,616 m; GmTag46 median = 4,331 m; Figure 5).

Three pilot whales were satellite tagged off the north side of Kauaʻi on two consecutive days in February 2011. Two of the three individuals were tagged on the same day although in different sub-groups. Photo-identification data revealed that one sub-group had no previous sightings (o of 15 individuals; Table 4), while the other had 16 of 17 individuals previously documented, off the island of Oʻahu (Table 4). Comparison of distances among individuals over the period of tag overlap also suggested that these individuals were from different social units, with median distance apart of almost 20 km (Table 5, maximum distance apart = 199.6 km). Although analyses of depth use are not yet available for the individuals tagged off Kauaʻi, overall ranging patterns did differ between the three individuals (Figure 7), with one moving to Oʻahu and back, and another moving further west.

Results from this work represent a dramatic increase in what is known about spatial use and residency patterns of both short-finned pilot whales and pygmy killer whales in the main Hawaiian Islands, in particular in the western half of the HRC. The tags deployed on short-finned pilot whales off Oʻahu that broadly utilized offshore waters provide evidence that individuals of this species in Hawaiʻi may have alternative spatial use strategies, with some remaining in restricted areas and primarily using slope habitats, and others roaming widely and using openocean habitats (Figure 4, Figure 6). Such results have implications for both the potential of exposure of groups to mid-frequency sonar from Navy exercises, and for their potential responses to exposure. Future additional incorporation of photographs of pilot whales from Kauaʻi and Oʻahu, and additional tag deployments, will allow for a more thorough understanding of the

spatial use and residency patterns of this species in the western HRC, and assessment of responses of instrumented individuals to exercises.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Field work off O'ahu was funded by the Naval Postgraduate School (N45) and the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center. Field work off Kaua'i was coordinated by NAVFAC Pacific and funded by Commander, Pacific Fleet, and tagging operations were supported by Naval Postgraduate School and Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center. Off O'ahu we thank Dan McSweeney and the Wild Whale Research Foundation for coordinating the research vessel, Chad Yoshinaga and the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center for assistance with vessel transport and launching, and Vinne DePaolo and Paul Nachtigall of the Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology for emergency welding on the research vessel. Off O'ahu we thank Bud Antonelis, Brenda Asuncion, Chuck Babbitt, Chris Boggs, Colleen Bryan, Vicki Davis, Pete Donaldson, Melissa Evans, Scott Ferguson, Kerry Foltz, Krista Jaspers, Brenda Jensen, Marc Lammers, Fiona Langenberger, Gregg Levine, Dera Look, Tot Long, Wendy Marks, Jennifer Metz, Erin Oleson, Frank Parish, Jeff Polovina, Alison Reiser, Julie Rocho-Levine, Sheila Sarhangi, Lisa Schlender, Megan Warren, Jonas Webster, Kevin Weng, Lisa White, Kelly Wright, Phoebe Woodworth and Nancy Young for assistance in the field, and Kelly Wright for re-sighting photographs of tagged pygmy killer whales. Off Kaua'i we thank Morgan Richie, Sean Hanser, and Michele Bane for observations and photographs from the R/V Searcher as well as the Captain and crew of the Searcher for their assistance. Damon Holzer of the Northwest Fisheries Science Center performed GIS analyses. Research was undertaken under NMFS Scientific Research Permits No. 731-1774 and 774-1714.

LITERATURE CITED

- Andrews, R.D., R.L. Pitman, and L.T. Balance. 2008. Satellite tracking reveals distinct movement patterns for Type B and Type C killer whales in the southern Ross Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 31, 1461-1468. Aschettino, J.M., R.W. Baird, D.J. McSweeney, D.L. Webster, G.S. Schorr, J.L. Huggins, K.K.
- Martien, S.D. Mahaffy, and K.L. West. In press. Population structure of melon-headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*) in the Hawaiian Archipelago: evidence of multiple populations based on photo-identification. Marine Mammal Science
- Baird, R.W., D.J. McSweeney, D.L. Webster, A.M. Gorgone and A.D. Ligon. 2003. Studies of odontocete population structure in Hawaiian waters: results of a survey through the main Hawaiian Islands in May and June 2003. Report prepared under Contract No. AB133F02-CN-0106 from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Western Administrative Support Center, 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA 98115 USA. Available from www.cascadiaresearch.org/hawaii/publications.htm
- Baird, R.W., G.S. Schorr, D.L. Webster, S.D. Mahaffy, A.B. Douglas, A.M. Gorgone, and D.J. McSweeney. 2006. A survey for odontocete cetaceans off Kaua'i and Ni'ihau, Hawai'i, during October and November 2005: evidence for population structure and site fidelity. Report to Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries, under Order No. AB133F05SE5197 with additional support from the Marine Mammal Commission and Dolphin Quest. Available from www.cascadiaresearch.org/hawaii/publications.htm

- Baird, R.W., D.L. Webster, S.D. Mahaffy, D.J. McSweeney, G.S. Schorr and A.D. Ligon. 2008a. Site fidelity and association patterns in a deep-water dolphin: rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) in the Hawaiian Archipelago. Marine Mammal Science 24:535-553.
- Baird, R.W., A.M. Gorgone, D.J. McSweeney, D.L. Webster, D.R. Salden, M.H. Deakos, A.D. Ligon, G.S. Schorr, J. Barlow and S.D. Mahaffy. 2008b. False killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) around the main Hawaiian Islands: long-term site fidelity, inter-island movements, and association patterns. Marine Mammal Science 24:591-612.
- Baird, R.W., G.S. Schorr, D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, M.B. Hanson, and R.D. Andrews. 2008. Multi-species cetacean satellite tagging to examine movements in relation to the 2008 Rim-of-the-Pacific (RIMPAC) naval exercise. A quick look report on the results of tagging efforts undertaken under Order No. Dioooii5 from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Available from www.cascadiaresearch.org/hawaii/publications.htm
- Baird, R.W., A.M. Gorgone, D.J. McSweeney, A.D. Ligon, M.H. Deakos, D.L. Webster, G.S. Schorr, K.K. Martien, D.R. Salden, and S.D. Mahaffy. 2009. Population structure of island-associated dolphins: evidence from photo-identification of common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the main Hawaiian Islands. Marine Mammal Science 25:251-274.
- Baird, R.W., G.S. Schorr, D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, M.B. Hanson, and R.D. Andrews. 2010. Movements of satellite-tagged false killer whales around the main Hawaiian Islands. Endangered Species Research 10:107-121.
- Baird, R.W., G.S. Schorr, D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, M.B. Hanson and R.D. Andrews. 2011. Movements of two satellite-tagged pygmy killer whales (*Feresa attenuata*) off the island of Hawai'i. Marine Mammal Science doi: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2010.00458.x.
- Martien, K.K., R.W. Baird, N.M. Hedrick, A.M. Gorgone, J.L. Thieleking, D.J. McSweeney, K.M. Robertson, and D.L. Webster. In press. Population structure of island-associated dolphins: evidence from mitochondrial and microsatellite markers for common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) around the main Hawaiian Islands. Marine Mammal Science.
- McSweeney, D.J., R.W. Baird and S.D. Mahaffy. 2007. Site fidelity, associations and movements of Cuvier's (*Ziphius cavirostris*) and Blainville's (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) beaked whales off the island of Hawai'i. Marine Mammal Science 23:666-687.
- McSweeney, D.J., R.W. Baird, S.D. Mahaffy, D.L. Webster, and G.S. Schorr. 2009. Site fidelity and association patterns of a rare species: pygmy killer whales (*Feresa attenuata*) in the main Hawaiian Islands. Marine Mammal Science 25:557-572.
- Schorr, G.S., R.W. Baird, M.B. Hanson, D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney and R.D. Andrews. 2009. Movements of satellite-tagged Blainville's beaked whales off the island of Hawai'i. Endangered Species Research 10:203-213.
- Woodworth, P.A., G.S. Schorr, R.W. Baird, D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, M.B. Hanson, R.D. Andrews and J.J. Polovina. In press. Eddies as offshore foraging grounds for melon-headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*). Marine Mammal Science doi: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2011.00509.x.

Table 1. Details of odontocete sightings off Oʻahu in October 2010.

Species	Date	Sighting #	Group size (best)	# genetic samples	# photos
Blainville's beaked whale	19-Oct-10	5	3	0	274
Blainville's beaked whale	20-Oct-10	2	4	0	55
Bottlenose dolphin	14-Oct-10	1	19	2	258
Dwarf sperm whale	20-Oct-10	3	1	0	0
False killer whale	15-Oct-10	2	28	3	2,030
False killer whale	22-Oct-10	2	19	1	1,437
Melon headed whale	18-Oct-10	2	1	0	2
Pantropical spotted dolphin	10-Oct-10	1	80	2	398
Pantropical spotted dolphin	10-Oct-10	4	45	0	113
Pantropical spotted dolphin	13-Oct-10	1	11	2	98
Pantropical spotted dolphin	13-Oct-10	2	65	1	37
Pantropical spotted dolphin	14-Oct-10	2	50	0	32
Pantropical spotted dolphin	18-Oct-10	3	85	2	173
Pantropical spotted dolphin	19-Oct-10	1	75	0	7
Pantropical spotted dolphin	19-Oct-10	2	40	0	18
Pantropical spotted dolphin	20-Oct-10	1	40	1	75
Pantropical spotted dolphin	21-Oct-10	1	120	2	166
Pantropical spotted dolphin	23-Oct-10	1	170	0	771
Pygmy killer whale	13-Oct-10	3	18	0	1,820
Pygmy killer whale	18-Oct-10	1	17	3	1,042
Pygmy killer whale	24-Oct-10	1	25	0	1,676
Rough-toothed dolphin	10-Oct-10	2	14	2	918
Rough-toothed dolphin	10-Oct-10	3	16	2	672
Rough-toothed dolphin	11-Oct-10	1	24	4	891
Short-finned pilot whale	15-Oct-10	1	32	0	2,390
Short-finned pilot whale	16-Oct-10	1	47	2	1,131
Short-finned pilot whale	19-Oct-10	3	56	2	1,207
Short-finned pilot whale	19-Oct-10	4	35	1	668
Spinner dolphin	15-Oct-10	3	25	0	8
Spinner dolphin	22-Oct-10	1	55	0	286

Table 2. Details of odontocetes sightings of odontocetes off Kauaʻi in February 2011.

Species	Date	Sighting #	Group size (best)	# genetic samples	# photos
Bottlenose dolphin	20-Feb-11	1	4	0	80
Bottlenose dolphin	20-Feb-11	2	1	0	34
Rough-toothed dolphin	17-Feb-11	1	2	0	104
Rough-toothed dolphin	17-Feb-11	2	12	2	450
Rough-toothed dolphin	18-Feb-11	2	4	2	139
Rough-toothed dolphin	18-Feb-11	3	5	0	142
Rough-toothed dolphin	18-Feb-11	4	5	1	116
Rough-toothed dolphin	18-Feb-11	5	3	0	52
Rough-toothed dolphin	19-Feb-11	2	18	0	407
Short-finned pilot whale	18-Feb-11	6	17	2	2,807
Short-finned pilot whale	19-Feb-11	1	8	0	46
Short-finned pilot whale	19-Feb-11	3	16	0	594
Spinner dolphin	19-Feb-11	5	55	0	621

Table 3. Information on satellite tag deployments during October 2010 and February 2011.

Species	Tag ID	Date deployed	Island tagged	Individual ID	Duration of signal (days)	Data type ¹
Pygmy killer whale	FaTag5	13 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIFa368	11.8	L
Pygmy killer whale	FaTag6	18 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIFa371	25.5	L
Pygmy killer whale	FaTag7	24 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIFa459	7.2	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag41	15 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIGm1291	22.8	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag42	16 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIGm1296	97.5	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag43	16 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIGm1297	2232	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag44	19 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIGm1317	58.0	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag45	19 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIGm1324	9.3	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag46	19 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIGm1187	53.1	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag49	18 Feb 11	Kaua'i	HIGm1374	30.9	L
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag50	18 Feb 11	Kaua'i	HIGm0180	36.8	L/D
Short-finned pilot whale	GmTag51	19 Feb 11	Kaua'i	HIGm1400	37.1	L
False killer whale	PcTag26	15 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIPc200	13.2	L/D
False killer whale	PcTag27	22 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIPc132	51.3	L
False killer whale	PcTag28	22 Oct 10	Oʻahu	HIPc272	47.6	L/D

¹L = Location-only; L/D = Location/Depth. This tag last transmitted on 29 May 2011 and is on a once-every-five-day transmission schedule so may still be functioning as of the time of this report.

Table 4. Information on previous sighting histories of pygmy killer whale and short-finned pilot whale groups or sub-groups where individuals were satellite tagged (see Table 3 for details).

	Date first tag deployed	# distinctive individuals photo-IDd	# (%) seen prior to field effort	Island(s) previously documented
FaTag5, 6	13 Oct 10	15	12 (80.0)	Oʻahu
FaTag7	24 Oct 10	17	2 (11.8)	Hawaiʻi, Lanaʻi
GmTag41, 42, 43	15 Oct 10	21	9 (42.9)	Lana'i
GmTag44, 45	19 Oct 10	23	0 (0.0)	-
GmTag46	19 Oct 10	16	4 (25.0)	Kaua'i
GmTag49	18 Feb 11	15	0 (0.0)	-
GmTag50	18 Feb 11	17	16 (94.1)	Oʻahu
GmTag51	19 Feb 11	12	1 (8.3)	Oʻahu

Table 5. Distance (in km) among pairs of satellite tagged short-finned pilot whales tagged either on the same day (see Table 3) or on subsequent days when individuals from the first encounter were also present. For each set of whales both the median distance apart (above the diagonal) and the maximum distance apart (below the diagonal) are shown. Individuals with median distances apart of less than 5 km were considered to be part of the same social unit (highlighted in bold).

	GmTag41	GmTag42	GmTag43
GmTag41	-	12.8	11.8
GmTag42	47.4	-	3.0
GmTag43	42.9	24.5	-

	GmTag44	GmTag45	GmTag46
GmTag44	-	2.4	164.1
GmTag45	33.2	1	9.1
GmTag46	457.6	155.3	1

	GmTag49	GmTag50	GmTag51
GmTag49	-	19.8	43.3
GmTag50	199.6	1	30.5
GmTag51	313.4	197.9	1




Figure 1. Maps showing spatial use patterns of false killer whale HIPc272 tagged off the island of Hawai'i in 2008 (top, 26 days of movements in September 2008) and off Oʻahu in 2010 (bottom, 32 days of movements in October and November 2010).




Figure 2. Locations of satellite-tagged pygmy killer whales tagged off Oʻahu in October 2010. Top. Combined track of individuals tagged 13 October 2010 and 18 October 2010, with tot al span of movement data over 30 days. Individuals from this group had been previously documented off Oʻahu in 2007, 2008 and 2009. Bottom. Individual tagged 24 October 2010, with movement data over 7.2 days. None of the individuals in this group had been previously documented off Oʻahu, although one

individual had been photographed off Hawaiʻi and one had been recorded off Lanaʻi. Dotted lines connect consecutive locations but do not necessarily reflect travel routes.




Figure 3. Boxplot showing depth for data from two pygmy killer whales tagged off the island of Oʻahu in October 2010. Symbol in middle of box represents mean value; middle horizontal line represents median. The box bottom is at the 25th percentile and the top is at the 75th percentile.




Figure 4. Movements of two short-finned pilot whales tagged off Oʻahu on October 16, 2010. Top. GmTag42. Bottom. GmTag43. Dotted lines connect consecutive locations but do not necessarily reflect travel routes.




Figure 5. Boxplot showing depth for data from four short-finned pilot whales tagged off the island of Oʻahu in October 2010. Individuals GmTag42 and GmTag43 were tagged in the same group October 16, 2010, while GmTag44 and GmTag46 were tagged in two groups separated by 2 kilometers on October 19, 2010. Symbol in middle represents mean value; middle horizontal line represents median. The box bottom is at the 25 percentile and the top is at the 75 percentile.




Figure 6. Movements of two short-finned pilot whales tagged (in separate groups) off Oʻahu on 19 October 2011. Top. A 58-day track from GmTag44. Bottom. A 53-day track from GmTag46. The 1,000 m depth contour is shown. Dotted lines connect consecutive locations but do not necessarily reflect travel routes.




Figure 7. Movements of three satellite-tagged pilot whales tagged off Kaua'i in February 2011. Top. GmTag49, tagged 18 Feb 2011, showing 30.9 days of movements. Middle. GmTag50, tagged 18 Feb 2011, showing 36.8 days of movements. Bottom, GmTag51, tagged 19 Feb 2011, showing 37.1 days of movements. Dotted lines connect consecutive locations but do not necessarily reflect travel routes